IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

FRED A. DIXON, JR., #1465237,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
v.	§	Case No. 6:22-cv-475-JDK-KNM
	§	
SKYVIEW COUNSEL,	§	
	§	
Defendant.	§	

ORDER ADOPTING THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Plaintiff Fred Dixon, a Texas Department of Criminal Justice inmate proceeding pro se, filed this civil rights lawsuit pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The case was referred to United States Magistrate Judge K. Nicole Mitchell for findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommendations for disposition.

On December 13, 2022, Judge Mitchell issued a Report recommending that the Court dismiss this case with prejudice for purposes of an *in forma pauperis* proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). Docket No. 3. Plaintiff received a copy of the Report on December 19, 2022. Docket No. 4. To date, no objections have been received.

This Court reviews the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge de novo only if a party objects within fourteen days of service of the Report and Recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). In conducting a de novo review, the Court examines the entire record and makes an independent assessment under the law. Douglass v. United Servs. Auto. Ass'n, 79 F.3d 1415, 1430 (5th Cir. 1996) (en banc),

superseded on other grounds by statute, 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (extending the time to file objections from ten to fourteen days).

Here, Plaintiff did not object in the prescribed period. The Court therefore reviews the Magistrate Judge's findings for clear error or abuse of discretion and reviews the legal conclusions to determine whether they are contrary to law. *See United States v. Wilson*, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989), *cert. denied*, 492 U.S. 918 (1989) (holding that, if no objections to a Magistrate Judge's Report are filed, the standard of review is "clearly erroneous, abuse of discretion and contrary to law").

Having reviewed the Magistrate Judge's Report and the record in this case, the Court finds no clear error or abuse of discretion and no conclusions contrary to law. Accordingly, the Court hereby ADOPTS the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge (Docket No. 3) as the findings of this Court. It is therefore ORDERED that this case is DISMISSED with prejudice for purposes of proceeding in forma pauperis under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g), but without prejudice as to the refiling of his lawsuit upon the satisfaction of three conditions: (1) proof of satisfaction of the \$100.00 sanction imposed by the Northern District of Texas; (2) receipt of written permission to file a new lawsuit; and (3) payment of the full \$402.00 filing fee or proof that Plaintiff is in imminent danger of serious physical injury as of the time of the filing of the complaint.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 26th day of January, 2023.

JEREMY D. KERNODLE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE